

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
5 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**  
6

7 ASIF MEHEDI, et al.,  
8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 VIEW, INC., et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-06374-BLF

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
MOTION TO EXPEDITE**

[Re: ECF No. 206]

12  
13 Before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to expedite the hearing on Plaintiff's motion for  
14 reconsideration or, in the alternative, decide the motion without a hearing. ECF No. 206 at 1.  
15 Defendants do not oppose the motion. *Id.* at 1–2. To the extent that Plaintiffs request a hearing  
16 before September 5, 2024, the Court's calendar does not have room to accommodate Plaintiffs'  
17 request. However, the Court will GRANT IN PART Plaintiffs' motion and take the motion for  
18 reconsideration under submission without oral argument once the reply brief is filed and will  
19 address the motion as soon as possible. *See* Civ. L.R. 7-1(b). If the Court determines that a  
20 hearing is necessary, it will notify the parties.

21  
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23  
24 Dated: April 29, 2024

25   
26 BETH LABSON FREEMAN  
27 United States District Judge  
28